

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/527,044	03/08/2005	Brian Thomas Campbell	MS0010P	7005
210	7590 10/28/2005		EXAMINER	
MERCK AND CO., INC			FREISTEIN, ANDREW B	
P O BOX 2000	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RAHWAY, N	IJ 07065-0907			TALER NOMBER
		•	1626	
			DATE MAILED: 10/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurrence	10/527,044	CAMPBELL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andrew B. Freistein	1626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Ma	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 March 2005</u> .					
	action is non-final.					
,	,—					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
· <u> </u>						
· _ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers		`				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	. 🗖					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1626

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-28 are currently pending in the instant application.

Priority

This application is a 371 of PCT/US03/28344, filed 09/09/2003, which claims benefit of US Provisional Application No. 60/410,549, filed 09/13/2002.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Due to the numerous and widely-divergent variables in the compound of Formula (I), (e.g. X¹, X², X³, X⁴, X⁵, X⁶, R¹, R², Y, n¹ and n²), a precise listing of all inventive groups cannot be made. The following groups are exemplary:

Group I: Claims 1-28 (in part), drawn to compounds and pharmaceutical

$$R^2$$
 X^6 X^5 X^4 methods of

compositions of Formula I.

treatment thereof, wherein X^1 , X^2 , X^4 , and X^6 are C or N; X^3 is C; X^5 is N; Y is pyridyl; R^1 is halogen or $C_{0.4}$ alkyl; and R^2 is halogen or $C_{0.4}$ alkyl; n^1 is 1; n^2 is 0; and

Art Unit: 1626

$$R^{2}$$
 X^{3}
bonds to

 X^{4}
 X^{5}
 X^{4}
 X^{5}
 X^{4}
 X^{5}
 X^{4}
 X^{5}
 X^{5}
 X^{4}
 X^{5}
 X^{5}
 X^{6}
 X^{5}
 X^{6}
 X^{7}
 X^{7}
 X^{8}
 $X^$

Examples of compounds found within this group are:

Group II: Claims 1-28 (in part), drawn to compounds and pharmaceutical compositions of Formula I and methods of treating thereof, wherein X^1 , X^2 , X^3 , X^4 , and X^6 are C or N; X^5 is C; Y is pyridyl; R^1 is halogen or C_{0-4} alkyl; and R^2 is halogen or C_{0-1}

Art Unit: 1626

4alkyl; n¹ is 1; and n² is 0. Examples are:

Group III: Claims 1-28 (in part), drawn to compounds and pharmaceutical compositions of Formula I and methods of treating thereof, wherein X^1 is S or O; X^2 is N or C; X^3 , X^4 and X^6 are C; X^5 is N; Y is pyridyl; R^1 is halogen or $C_{0.4}$ alkyl; and R^2 is

$$R^2$$
 X^6 X^5 X^4 bonds to

halogen or C_{0-4} alkyl; n^1 is 0; n^2 is 0; and R^2

at the X³ position. Examples are:

Art Unit: 1626

Group IV: Claims 1-28 (in part), drawn to compounds and pharmaceutical compositions of Formula I and methods of treating thereof, wherein X¹ is S or O; X² is N or C; X³, X⁴ and X⁶ are C; X⁵ is N; Y is pyridyl; R¹ is halogen or C₀₋₄alkyl; and R² is

pyridyl;
$$n^1$$
 is 0; n^2 is 0; and R^2 X^6 X^4 bonds to

Group V: Claims 1-28 (in part), drawn to compounds and pharmaceutical compositions of Formula I and a method of treatment thereof, wherein variables X¹, X², X³, X⁴, X⁵, X⁶, R¹, R², Y, n¹ and n² represent chemical groups and methods of treating

Art Unit: 1626

not otherwise encompassed by the inventions of Groups I-IV above. If this group is elected, it may be subject to further restriction.

In accordance with 37 CFR § 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a <u>single invention</u> to which the claims must be restricted. As stated above, this is not an exhaustive list, as it would be impossible under the time constraints due to the large volume of subject matter claimed in the instant application. Therefore, applicant may choose to elect a different invention (a product and a method of use of the product) by identifying another specific embodiment; i.e. to choose another value for X¹, X², X³, X⁴, X⁵, X⁶, R¹, R², Y, n¹ and n² (where applicable) not listed in the exemplary groups of the invention above, and the examiner will endeavor to group that selection.

In addition to an election of one of the above groups, restriction is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 as follows:

An election of one method of use is required: for example,

- A. Method of treating pain,
- B. Method of treating anxiety,
- C. Method of treating memory loss,
- D. Method of treating Alzheimer's disease,
- E. Method of treating dementia,
- F. Method of treating cognitive impairment,
- G. Method of treating Huntington's disease,
- H. Method of treating Parkinson's disease,
- I. Method of treating depression,

Application/Control Number: 10/527,044 Page 7

Art Unit: 1626

J. Method of treating schizophrenia,

K. Method of treating drug addiction.

The claims herein lack unity of invention under PCT Rule 13.1 and 13.2 because, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.475(a), the compounds defined in the claims lack a significant structural element qualifying as the special technical feature that defines a contribution

over the prior art. The technical feature in the instant application is: , which does not define a contribution over the prior art, as can be demonstrated by Lins, Claudio L, "Nitro- para- and meta-substituted 2-phenylindolizines as potential antimicrobial agents," <u>Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences</u> 71(5), pp. 556-61 (1982),

disclosing the compound , which contains the same technical feature claimed in the instant application. As a result, the technical feature of the instant application cannot be said to be a "special" technical feature. Therefore, unity of invention is lacking and restriction among the inventions identified is proper.

Moreover, the variables of Formula I vary extensively and, when taken as a whole, result in vastly different compounds, which would impose a serious burden on the examination of the application.

Further, even if unity of invention under 37 CFR 1.475(a) is not lacking, under 37 CFR 1.475(b) a national stage application containing claims to different categories of

Art Unit: 1626

invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations:

- (1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or
- (2) A product and process of use of said product; or
- (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4) A process and an apparatus or means specially designed for carrying out the said process; or
- (5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specially designed for carrying out the said process.

Moreover, according to 37 CFR 1.475(c),

If an application contains claims to more or less that one of the combinations of categories of invention set forth in paragraph (b), unity of invention might not be present.

In the instant case the claims are drawn to more than one product and method of use. According to 37 CFR 1.475(e),

The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate claims or as alternatives within a single claim.

As a result, the claims lack unity of invention and applicant is required to elect a single invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/527,044 Page 9

Art Unit: 1626

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even if the restriction requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Telephone Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew B. Freistein whose telephone number is (571) 272-8515. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane can be reached on (571) 272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew B. Freistein Patent Examiner, AU 1626

Joseph K. McKane

Supervisory Patent Examiner, AU 1626

Date: October 24, 2005